

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : LIAO et al. Confirmation No: 2784
Appl. No. : 09/917,751
Filed : July 31, 2001
Title : NOVEL CYANINE-TCNQ DYE FOR HIGH DENSITY DATA
STORAGE MEDIA
TC/A.U. : 1756
Examiner : M. Angebranntdt Via facsimile to BPAPI 571-273-0300
Docket No.: LIAO3030/REF
Customer No: 23364

APPEAL BRIEF 37 CFR §41.37, Appendix Page

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Sir:

This replacement page 17 provides the requested appendix page noting that no evidence or related proceedings are pending with respect to this appeal.

This is in response to a telephone inquiry received from Mr. Harper for this submission.

In view of the brief on appeal, the rejections of the claims on appeal should not be sustained. The prior art rejections should be reversed and the application passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

By: 
Richard E. Fichter
Registration No. 26,382

625 Slaters Lane - 4th Fl.
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
Phone: (703) 683-0500
Facsimile: (703) 683-1080
REF:ref

November 30, 2005

RECEIVED

DEC 05 2005

**BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCE**

LIAO et al APPEAL BRIEF
Serial No. 09/917,751

49. The data storage media of claim 45 which is a high density recordable optical disc.

(ix) Evidence appendix

NONE

(X) Related proceedings appendix

NONE

*** TX REPORT ***

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO 0141
RECIPIENT ADDRESS 15712730300
DESTINATION ID
ST. TIME 11/30 15:45
TIME USE 00'18
PAGES SENT 2
RESULT OK

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : LIAO et al. Confirmation No: 2784
Appl. No. : 09/917,751
Filed : July 31, 2001
Title : NOVEL CYANINE-TCNQ DYE FOR HIGH DENSITY DATA
STORAGE MEDIA
TCA.U. : 1756
Examiner : M. Angebranntd Via facsimile to BPAPI 571-273-0300
Docket No.: : LIAO3030/REF
Customer No: : 23364

APPEAL BRIEF 37 CFR §41.37, Appendix Page

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This replacement page 17 provides the requested appendix page noting that no evidence or related proceedings are pending with respect to this appeal.

This is in response to a telephone inquiry received from Mr. Harper for this submission.

In view of the brief on appeal, the rejections of the claims on appeal should not be sustained. The prior art rejections should be reversed and the application passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

Richard S. Hill
R. S. Hill